

# Rapid Internal Evaluation of the Community Based *Haor* and Floodplain Resource Management Project

Sustainable Envir

# Contents

Project Identification

Acronyms and terms

Executive Summary

Contents

- 1 Project Context and Background
  - 1.1 Bangladesh and its Wetlands
  - 1.2 Wetland Resources and Their Use
  - 1.3 Community-based Wetland Management
  - 1.4 Project Background and Objectives
  - 1.5 Purpose of Evaluation
  
- 2 Project Sites
  - 2.1 Site Selection Criteria
  - 2.2 Pagnar *Haor* and Sanuar-Dakuar *Haor*
  - 2.3 Hakaluki *Haor*
  - 2.4 Madhumati Floodplain
  - 2.5 Padma-Jamuna Floodplain
  - 2.5 Brahmaputra-Shitalakhya Floodplain
  
- 3 Methodologies
  - 3.1 Project Approach and Methodology
    - 3.1.1 Baseline Studies
    - 3.1.2 Participatory Action Plan Development
    - 3.1.3 Enhancing Awareness and Knowledge
    - 3.1.4 Skills Development
    - 3.1.5 Creation of Environment Fund
    - 3.1.6 Project monitoring and evaluation
  
  - 3.2 Substantive Areas of Interventions
  
  - 3.3 Rapid Internal Evaluation Approach and Methodology

## 4 Overall Evaluation

### 4.1 General and Contextual Considerations

### 4.2 Evaluation of Approaches and Methodologies

### 4.3 Achievement of Project Overall Goal

### 4.4 Achievement of Project Outputs

4.4.1 Output 1 Participatory resource management tools developed and practiced

4.4.2 Output 2 Ecosystems improved, restored or rehabilitated

4.4.3 Output 3 Local institutions for sustainable development established and functioning

4.4.4 Output 4 Capacity, awareness and skills enhanced

## 5

- 5.4.1 Technical Know-how
- 5.4.2 Supporting Resources
- 5.4.3 Facilitators and Extension Agents
- 5.4.4 Awareness Raising

5.5. Improving Quality of Life

- 5.5.1 Financial and In-kind Support
- 5.5.2 Technical Support
- 5.5.3 Enhancing the Role of Women

6 Project Continuity

6.1 Sustainability and Replicability

6.2 Documentation of Best Practices

6.3 Policy Integration

7 Project Impact Assessment and Potential Future Projects

7.1 Project Impact Assessment

7.2 Termination Reports

7.3 Concluding Workshop

7.4 Potential Follow-up Projects

Annex 1 Evaluation Terms of Reference

Annex 2 List of People Interviewed

Annex 3 List of Reports Made Available to the RIE

Annex 4 Itinerary of the Evaluation

Annex 5 Target-Achievement Matrices

Annex 6 List of Unpublished Reports

Annex 7 Project Compliance Against the CBD Ecosystem Approach Principles

Annex 8 Project Conformance With Ramsar Convention Wise Use Guidelines

# Project identification

Title	<b>Community Based Haor and Floodplain Resource Management</b>
Project number	Sustainable Environment Management Programme (SEMP) Component 2.2.1/A & B BGD/96/007/A/01/99
Executing Agency	Ministry of Environment and Forest
Implementing Agency	Ministry of Environment and Forest Government of the People's Republic Bangladesh
Sub-Implementing Agency (SIA)	IUCN – The World Conservation Union Bangladesh Country Office
Duration	5 years
Effective starting	1 October 1998
Expected end	30 September 2003 Extended 31 December 2005
Project sites	Pagnar and Sanuar-Dakuar Haor, Hakaluki Haor, Padma-Jamuna Floodplain, Madhumoti Floodplain, Brahmaputra Floodplain.
Project Finance UNDP/GEF:	USD 3,213,760 (inclusive of additional funds requested)

# Acronyms & Terms

<b>Baor</b>	Oxbow lake situated in the Moribound delta
<b>BCAS</b>	Bangladesh Centre for Advance Studies
<b>Beel</b>	Saucer-shaped depression of a marshy character
<b>CBO</b>	Community Based Organization
<b>CNRS</b>	Center for Natural Resource Studies
<b>FAPD</b>	Flood Action Plan Development
<b>GEF</b>	Global Environment Facility
<b>GoB</b>	Government of Bangladesh
<b>Haor</b>	A bowl-shaped depression located between the natural levees of rivers
<b>HRMC</b>	<i>Haor</i> Resource Management Committee
<b>IMED</b>	Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation Division
<b>IPM</b>	Integrated Pest Management
<b>IUCN</b>	The World Conservation Union
<b>IUCNB</b>	IUCN Bangladesh Country Office
<b>Jheel</b>	Natural or artificially created wetlands which are not rectangular
<b>Kandas</b>	Raised ridge like edges
<b>Khal</b>	Canal
<b>Khas land</b>	Government acquired land
<b>Madrasa</b>	Educational institution for Islamic studies
<b>MoEF</b>	Ministry of Environment and Forest
<b>Mouzas</b>	Sub area of an Union Parishad
<b>NACOM</b>	Nature Conservation Management
<b>NEMAP</b>	National Environmental Management Plan
<b>NGO</b>	Non Government Organization
<b>NPD</b>	National Programme Director
<b>NRM</b>	Natural Resource Management
<b>PAPD</b>	Participatory Action Plan Development
<b>PIP</b>	Project Implementation plan
<b>PRA</b>	Participatory Rural Appraisal
<b>PSD</b>	Programme Support Document
<b>RRA</b>	Rapid Rural appraisal
<b>RIE</b>	Rapid Internal Evaluation
<b>SEMP</b>	Sustainable Environment Management Plan
<b>UNDP</b>	United Nation Development Programme
<b>UP</b>	Union Parishad (Local Govt. body)
<b>VC</b>	Village Committee
<b>VDC</b>	Village Development Committee
<b>VEC</b>	Village Environment Committee
<b>VGs</b>	Village Groups
<b>WMTC</b>	Wetland Management Training Centre
<b>WRMC</b>	Wetland Resource Management Committee

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The Community Based *Haor* and Floodplain Resource Management project undertaken by IUCN Bangladesh Country Office (IUCNB) is a component of the Sustainable Environmental Management Programme (SEMP) of the Government of Bangladesh (GoB) implemented by its Ministry of Environment and Forest (MoEF). It is an implementation activity of the National Environment Management Action Plan (NEMAP) and is undertaken with the support of a grant from UNDP. The objective of SEMP is to build and strengthen capacity in environmental management at the community, local area and national levels especially through enabling access by the poor to natural and environmental resources, developing capacity to protect the interests of the poor, and by exploring possible changes to existing policies, laws and institutions. The overall aim of SEMP is to curb and where possible reverse the trend towards environmental degradation, promote development that is sustainable, alleviate poverty and enhance the quality of life.
2. The goal of Project is to establish and mainstream participatory resource management in *hoar* and floodplain ecosystems through improving access by the poor, especially women, by building understanding and capacity for sustainable wetlands resource utilization. It is implemented in association with three agencies acting as partners of IUCNB *viz.* the Center for Natural Resources Studies (CNRS), the Bangladesh Center for Advanced Studies (BCAS) and the Nature Conservation Management (NACOM). These organizations have strong grassroots links and have been working in the areas selected for the pilot projects, prior to the commencement of SEMP. They provided the much-needed link between IUCNB and local communities.
3. The Community Based *Haor* Resource Management Component of the Project (Component 2.2.1. A of SEMP) aimed to demonstrate the benefits of improving natural resource and environment management in the *haor* areas of Bangladesh. The Pagnar and Sanuar-Dakuar *Haor* and Hakaluki *Haor*

learnt for community-based wetland resource management in other parts of the world e.g. The Lower Mekong River Basin.

6. The assessment involved a review of relevant key and related documents including the Project Support Document (PSD), Project Implementation Plan (PIP), baseline study reports, output and activity reports, quarterly progress reports, intervention specific reports etc. some of which were in draft stages of



impact routes of the interventions and their relationship to local and other causes; network diagrams were used to describe the inter-relationships between people, the environment and interventions; Venn diagrams were used to show changes in relationships between groups, institutions and individuals; diaries were kept to record changes in the lives of individuals, groups and communities; and a matrix scoring system was adopted to compare the performance of target groups for outcomes and outputs.

10. All activities of the project have been thoroughly documented. Reports of activities at the project sites have been summarized in *Monthly Progress Reports* that gives a schedule of planned work, achievements, constraints, and suggested follow-up. *Visit Reports* summarized all visits and activities undertaken, findings from the visits and follow-up actions required. Periodic *Training Workshop Report* and *Environmental Education Report* gave accounts of the training and education activities under the Project. With each report the needs addressed, the number of trainees, course content, programme duration, training materials used/produced, the relevant pre-and post-training assessment and suggested follow-up action, were recorded. Other than these, at each project site a *Baseline Report* was prepared. These provided information about baseline physical, hydrological, biological, social, economic, and management conditions prior to the start of interventions. They focused on wetland resources and human settlement characteristics that enabled the local communities to prepare an informed *Participatory Action Plan Development* (PAPD). An overall *Quarterly Progress Report* and *Quarterly Activities Plan* were produced to help all relevant parties monitor progress towards the goals and objectives of the project.
11. Overall, the Project has successfully met its objectives and all activities crucial to its satisfactory conclusion have been completed. Local communities involved with the project are better organized, have institutions/committees with legal status, and community financial resources in the form of savings that enabled them to sustain the activities started with the assistance of the Project, after the end of the project period. It was also evident that local communities have begun to understand the critical roles wetlands play in maintaining and enhancing their livelihoods, and that reversing the deterioration of the wetland ecosystem results in better incomes, improved productivity of the land and waters (terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems), and provides a more diverse and richer living environment that they can benefit from.

## 12. Assessment of Project Results

- a. Output 1 – Participatory resource management tools developed and practiced

Workshops with local community participation were conducted to collectively develop the action plan for specific villages and locations. These *Participatory Action Plan Development* (PAPD) process gave ownership of the interventions to local communities. Appraisal of the

status of the physical, hydrological, biological and management status of project areas used the *Participatory Rural Appraisal* (PRA) approach. *Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation* (PM&E) was used as a tool to track the progress of the Project. These tools have been used in the management of the resources in the Project sites.

b. Output 2 – Ecosystems improved, restored or rehabilitated

Many examples of improved and rehabilitated ecosystems are evident and have been reported at all project sites. This included the unaided reestablishment of swamp vegetation cover, the return of previously rare fish, bird and mammal species (e.g. dolphins) and increased fish harvests. Additionally, the excavation by local communities of water channels that had degraded owing to sedimentation and neglect, restored communication routes that had in the past connected *beels* and rivers. The re-establishment of such canals also improved fisheries in the local area by re-opening fish migration routes. Re-vegetation of barren areas with local wetland species was shown to result in the return of rare and endangered species such as the Pallas's Fish Eagle and the Ganges River Dolphin. The establishment of sanctuaries for fish and other species has been shown to lead to better fish harvest in the following season.

c. Output 3 – Local institutions for sustainable development established and functioning

Several institutions have been established in the project areas. These include the Village Environmental Committee (VEC), *haor* and floodplain Resource Management Committees (RMC) (at some locations Village Resource Management Committees - VRMC), and VillResou9 instituCo8(i15.6ve/Soci(agV



16. The Project was in full compliance with a majority of the CBD principles of the ecosystem approach. In promoting community-based resource management, the objective of management was entirely a matter of societal choice (Principle 1), and management was decentralized to the lowest level (Principle 2). It was also in compliance with Principle 7 because management was undertaken at the appropriate spatial scale and temporal (Principle 8) and the objectives of management were set for the long-term (Principle 8). The communities recognize that change is inevitable (Principle 9) and that the management of resources in the haor and floodplains is based on seeking a balance between use and conservation (Principle 10). In designing and implementing the Project all forms of knowledge were used (Principle 11) and it involved all relevant sectors of society and scientific disciplines (Principle 12). The Project was also in close conformity with the remaining principles of the ecosystem approach.
17. The outcomes and outputs of the CBHFRM project fulfill all five categories of guiding principles listed in the Ramsar guidec



Wetlands comprise about 50% of the total area of Bangladesh (about 7 to 8 million hectares). About 7% of the c



Most wetland sites do not have official protection status and even if they did, the enforcement of rules and regulations would be a serious challenge because of the extent the wetlands, and of the difficulty of gaining regular access to some of the remoter areas. For these reasons, a community-based *haor* and floodplain resources management regime, where the local communities play the key role in the management of resource use and conservation for the long-term, is crucial for ensuring the sustainability of these wetland areas. The community-based approach to natural resource management is a pluralist approach to managing natural resources that incorporates the interest of a wide range of stakeholders who are engaged in a variety of roles, generally leading to achieving the end goals of environmental conservation, sustainable use of natural resources and the equitable sharing of resource-related benefits and responsibilities (Borrini-Feyerabend *et al*, 2000<sup>3</sup>)

The Community Based *Haor* and Floodplain Resource Management Project (2.2.1-A/B) are components of the SEMP implemented by IUCN Bangladesh and is being implemented in association with three national NGOs namely Bangladesh Centre for Advanced Studies (BCAS), Center for Natural Resource Studies (CNRS) and Nature Conservation Management (NACOM). The project started in October 1998 and was designed so as to contribute to the overall objective of the NEMAP to further support the process of identification and field validation of key environmental issues, conserve, improve and reduce environmental degradation, promote sustainable development and generally raise the quality of human life as an integral part of the overall national strategy of development and environmental improvement. The Project also aims to increase the awareness and knowledge of the local communities and



NEMAP took cognisance of the key actors in the decision-making process *viz.* government agencies, people's representatives, civil society groups, and individuals. All actions of the NEMAP are prioritized based on the number of people likely to be affected, the urgency of action necessary to protect ecosystems, the dependability of people ' s participation, and the feasibility of pilot projects. Preparation of NEMAP thus involved consultations with the local communities and all other relevant stakeholders to identify concerns and options associated with the increasing degradation of the environment and its impact of the livelihoods of local communities. A major feature of NEMAP is the identification of priority areas of environmental concern where immediate action is required.

SEMP was formulated with the participation of civil society and support from the Government of Bangladesh (GoB) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The Ministry of Environment and Forest provided the necessary guidance in developing the project and it was designed through participatory consultative workshops with stakeholders. Its overall aim is to build national capacity for environmental governance at all levels of Bangladesh society.

SEMP consisted of 26 projects undertaken by 22 agencies. These projects were clustered in following 5 thematic areas:

- Policy and Institutions
- Participatory Ecosystems Management
- Community-based Environmental Sanitation
- Advocacy and Awareness
- Training and Education

IUCN Bangladesh (IUCNB) is the Sub-Implementing Agency (SIA) for Component 2.2.1 A and 2.2.1 B of the Participatory Ecosystems Management thematic cluster of SEMP. This focused specifically on community-based *haor* and floodplain resource management. The specific objectives of this project are as follows:

- Prevent and reverse the trends of wetland degradation
- Sustainable use of wetland resources
- Promote sustainable development
- Ensure people's participation in formulation and implementation of sustainable management plans

- Improve the quality of life with special focus on women
- Develop regional wetland centres for ecosystem management and
- Capacity building of the community for the management of natural resources and sustainable uses.

### **1.5 Purpose of Evaluation**

The primary purpose of this Rapid Internal Evaluation (RIE) of the Project is to assist IUCNB develop a basis for preparing the final External Review of the project and to think through potential follow-up projects (see Annex 1). The RIE will provide an independent view of all the significant achievements, their documentation and dissemination for wider use and of any shortcoming that could have arisen. In this way the RIE will assist IUCNB meet its final reporting obligations to the MoEF and UNDP.

## 2 Project Sites

### 2.1 Site Selection Criteria

Pilot projects were established in *haor* as well as floodplain sites. These sites were chosen based on the following physical/geological, social, biological and management criteria (PSD 1997<sup>4</sup>). Physically a chosen *haor* site would be located in a hydrological and topographically defined *haor* basin having characteristics of *haor* environment with a diversity of aquatic and terrestrial habitats. These would include seasonal and perennial *beels*, canals, secondary rivers and seasonally inundated lands and raised *kandas* (raised edges) with swamp forests and reeds. A chosen floodplain site would meet the characteristics of a floodplain environment, flanked by a river system with an array of permanent water bodies such as ox-bow lakes and river back swamps. The floodplains are subject to inundation in the rainy season.


The social criteria used at both the *haor* and floodplain sites were similar and emphasised the importance of access the natural resources by local communities. The resource use and land ownership patterns of the areas should be favourable in terms of management needs of the project and the sites should offer considerable scope for income generation rural households. People from various professions including fishermen, farmers, wood and reed collectors, wildlife collectors/ hunters, people associated with water transportation, leaseholders, etc., should be represented within the selected site.

The biological criteria for selection of sites at the *haor* and floodplain sites included the consideration that the sites should contain a wide range of aquatic habitats and ecological niches or have potential for restoring habitats for rich biodiversity of flora and fauna.

The management/general criteria used in selecting *haor* and floodplain project sites included the criteria that the sites were of reasonable size and that the impacts of biological and social interventions by the Project can be easily quantified, and be replicable to other localities. The sites should also show a high potential for success so that the communities and resources would benefit as a result of the project intervention, and that there would be negligible or no impact of external factors or other projects which would influence the intervention and impact of the project. The sites selected for the Project is shown in Figure 1 and are described briefly in the following sections.

---

<sup>4</sup> UNDP Programme Support Document (PSD) for Programme BGD/96/007/A/01/99 Sustainable environment Management Programme (SEMP), Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.



## **2.2 Pagnar and Sanuar-Dakuar *Haor***

The selected Pagnar *Haor* has about 22 villages with a total population of

Gopinathpur Union that has 13 villages with a population of about 15,000 and in Kanchanpur Union has 6 villages with a population of about 6,500. The *Kanchanpur* Union was included in response to demands by their communities to participate in the Project. All sites are at the lower reaches of the Jamuna-Padma floodplain and at the confluence of Jamuna and Ichamati rivers.

## **2.6 Brahmaputra Floodplain**

The Brahmaputra Floodplain site was located in the floodplain of Brahmaputra River of Bailar and Rampur Union of Trisal *Thana* of Mymensingh District. The area has 14 villages with a population of 31,300. Project activities were primarily concentrates in two areas, the Boka *beel* and the Brahmaputra-Shitalakha floodplain areas in the Kapasia *upazilla* under the Gazipur district.

### **3 Methodologies**

#### **3.1 Project Approach and Methodology**

The project approach and methodology is

recommendations with regard to the suitability of the project site based on the site selection criteria described in Section 2.1. A semi-detailed map of each project site was also prepared.

### **3.1.2 Participatory Action Plan Development (PAPD)**

Communities in the selected sites were then engaged in the identification of problems and their possible solutions in a problem census activity. The “problem tree” approach was used and 42 workshops were held to develop the action plans appropriate at the village level in each project site. The Logical Framework Approach was used in further developing the project interventions. This involved conducting a stakeholder analysis and then planning the outputs, outcomes, activities and inputs necessary for the successful implementation of the project by the local communities.

### **3.1.3 Enhancing Awareness and Knowledge**

Concurrently, activities were undertaken to raise the awareness of the local communities on the benefits of conserving and rehabilitating the wetland ecosystem. Awareness meetings were held for villagers at the village level and for students at the local schools. Where appropriate, folk drama groups were encouraged and supported to present the conservation message.

### **3.1.4 Skills Development**

The knowledge and capacity of key local leaders and women’s groups were enhanced by providing them with the necessary training courses and by organising exchange visits to local and foreign organizations and groups that enabled the sharing of knowledge and expertise in environmentally sound economic and other activities that would raise their income levels. Skill development training for alternative employment usually was based on the needs and opportunities of the members of particular groups in a particular project area. The trainers, from the project or hired as a resource person, were equipped with well-organized training manuals and materials to successfully reach the trainees. Local values, beliefs and traditional knowledge were incorporated into the manuals, blending in the environment conservation concepts, as appropriate.



### 3.1.5 Creation of Environment Fund

An environment fund was created to provide micro-credit to needy groups especially women. It enabled them to engage in income generating activities that did not do further damage to the environment. Funds were provided interest free with no overhead charge. Groups accessing the environment fund were given training so that they had some understanding of how to organize their group meetings, open and keep a bank account and select as a team an appropriate income generating activity funded by the funds they receive.

### 3.1.6 Project monitoring and evaluation

Progress of the Project was closely monitored and evaluated on a regular and continual basis. It involved staff of partner organization, IUCNB and of the MoEF. Monthly progress reports were submitted by all partner organizations. These were tabled at the monthly progress review meetings held during the last week of each month. Quarterly review and planning meetings were held during the last week of the last month of each quarter. Quarterly progress reports were compiled and submitted to the PMU. Work plans and the budget requirements were tabled and approved at these quarterly progress review meetings. Any significant deviation from the approved plan of activities will require approval by the Project Management Committee (PMC) and endorsed by the Project Steering Committee (PSC) that meets quarterly. The PMC met monthly as does the Project Financial Committee. A Project Completion Report is required by the Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation Division (IMED) of the Ministry of Planning, GoB. The UNDP requires the submission of a Terminal Report at the end of the Project.

## 3.2 Substantive Areas of Interventions

The activities suggested in the Action Plan developed by the communities can be clustered into the following substantive areas of intervention.

- **Institutionalization** of resource management organizations at the village level;
- **Afforestation** of degraded *haor* 'kandas' for swamp forest

- **Rehabilitation of potential but dead or silted water bodies** to reinstate aquatic life and resources of wetlands;
- **Capacity building and awareness raising** of the resource users on the concept of sustainable natural resource management;
- **Creating Alternative Livelihood Options** among the poor households to alleviate extreme poverty and to reduce pressure on the natural resource base;
- **Demonstrating eco-friendly agriculture** to reduce agro-based pollution and erosion of genetic diversity of local crops;
- **Conservation of endangered biodiversity** in order to increase species diversity;
- **Wise use of natural resources** so as to obtain optimum direct and indirect benefits from a healthier ecosystem; and
- **Empowerment of the poor and enhancing women's access** to resources, rights and governance with regards to natural resource management.

Clustering of the focus of activities in the Project in this way facilitated project monitoring and evaluation of progress and achievements.

### **3.3 Rapid Internal Evaluation Approach and Methodology**

The Rapid Internal Evaluation (RIE) was conducted in a participatory manner, working closely with the IUCNB Country Representative, and all IUCNB staff involved in the implementation of the Project especially the Task Manager. Other IUCNB staff responsible for activities associated with the Project, such as the preparation of publications, was also interviewed. Discussions were also held with senior staff of all IUCNB Partner Organizations involved in the implementation of the project in the field and with Senior Management Staff involved in the overall management of SEMP at the MoEF. A list of key personnel met during the Evaluation is given in Annex 2.

The primary objective of the RIE is to conduct a rapid assessment of project implementation and impacts so as to provide assistance to IUCNB in preparing for the final external review of the Project and in preparing the Project Completion Report for the IMED, Ministry of Planning and the Project Terminal Report for UNDP. Its subsidiary aim was to explore and

provide suggestions of project concepts that can be developed further as follow-up to the current Project.

Key project documents, such as the UNDP Programme Support Document (BGD/96/007/A/01/99), Project Inception Report, and Project Implementation Plan (PIP) were reviewed along with other relevant reports and documents produced by the Project. These included Monthly Progress Reports, Quarterly Operational Reports, and other internal documents such as consultant and financial reports. A list of reports received and reviewed is given in Annex 3.

Field visits were made to all project sites (Pagnar and Sanuar-Dakuar Haor, Jamalgonj, Sunamgonj District; Hakaluki Haor, Movibazar and Sylhet Districts; Chanda Beel, Gopalganj; Padma-Jamuna Floodplain, Manikgonj District; and the Brahmaputra-Shitalakshya Floodplain, Gazipur and Mymensingh and Gazipur Districts) as shown in the itinerary in Annex 4. At each Project site Field Managers appointed by partner organizations within the project area provided detailed information of project achievements and impacts on the local area and communities. One or two selected villages within each site were visited and interviews were held with local village communities targeted by the project.

At each village, activities that were initiated as a result of the Project were visited and reviewed first-hand. Where possible the Chair and Members of the Village Resource Management Committee, Chair and Members of the Women's Group, other community leaders such as the Member of Parliament, the Upazaila Nilbahi Officer (Sub-District Officer), the Union Parishad Chair, and the Deputy Commissioner of the District, were informally interviewed for their views of the Project, its impacts on the local communities and on the local environment and nature. Impacts of the Project on the livelihood of the local communities were usually explored in detail in discussions with the village level committees and groups.

Findings from the field visits and interviews were discussed with senior management of the Project such as the IUCNB Country Representative, the Task Manager of the Project, and the Chair of the Project Management Unit of the MoEF, so as to obtain the correct interpretation of findings in the field. Output and outcomes of the Project were cross-checked against a Target-Achievement Matrix provided to the reviewer by IUCNB. Final evaluation was made against the target outputs listed in the logical framework matrix for the Project found in the most recently updated Project Implementation Plan (PIP) dated November 2004.

## 4 Overall Evaluation

### 4.1 General and Contextual Considerations

The Project aimed to contribute to the overall objective of NEMAP through the SEMP, and to support further identification and field validation of key environmental issues in the Project sites. The activities undertaken were designed to showcase community-based initiatives to manage natural resources in a sustainable manner. This required making interventions to conserve the biological diversity of the areas concerned, arrest environmental degradation, enhance the incomes and increase livelihood options available to local communities thereby improving their standard of living and raising their quality of life. Gender related issues received special attention. In short the Project aimed to promote sustainable development through community empowerment and participation. This is in line with the country's overall strategy of development and environmental improvement outlined in NEMAP.

Project Implementation Plans (PIP) were prepared for each ecosystem (*haor* and floodplain)) upon the conception of the project in 1998 and an Inception Report was prepared in 1999. Flexibility of project implementation was built into the Project by allowing the PIP to be reviewed and revised as appropriate during the entire duration of the Project. The initial PIP was first updated in December 2000 and together with this, the logical framework analysis, activity plan, and budget for the Project were also updated (MoEF 2004<sup>6 & 7</sup>). The last up-date of the PIP was in November 2004.

The Project was officially suspended by the MoEF from September to December 2000 but owing to constraints in disbursing revised financial allocations, Project activity resumed only in July 2001. During the period of suspension, minimal activities were continued at the Project sites to maintain contact with the local communities and their interest in the Project. An independent external evaluation of the Project was conducted in February 2001 after the suspension of SEMP by the MoEF. During that time the programme underwent comprehensive review and assessment for progress achieved, and for managerial and financial control procedures.

The evaluation resulted in an increase in the scope of the Project to include additional study areas. For component 2.2.1 A that was implemented in Pagnar and Sanuar-Dakuar Hoar was added an additional study site at

---

<sup>6</sup> Ministry of Environment and Forest, Community Based *Hoar* Resource Management. Updated Project Implementation Plan (PIP) November 2004

<sup>7</sup> Ministry of Environment and Forest, Community Based Floodplain Resource Management. Updated Project Implementation Plan (PIP) November 2004

Hakaluki Haor (in Sylhet and Moulvibazar Districts). With component 2.2.1 B that was originally implemented in the Padma-Jamuna floodplain (Manikganj

consuming. The brief suspension of the Project soon after the initial groundwork commenced added to the challenge in gaining the trust and establishing the confidence of the communities involved that delayed to a small extent, the implementation of the project.

The engagement by IUCNB of local Partner Organizations such as CNRS, BCAS and NACOM that have been operating as grassroots organizations in the selected Project sites for many years prior to the start of the Project was essential to the success of the Project. These organizations have well established field staff and offices close or in the Project sites, and have been used to working closely with local communities at the grassroots level. By involving these organizations in the Project, trust and confidence of the local communities were more quickly established than if they had not been involved.

The engagement of the Partner Organizations (CNRS, BCAS and NACOM) also enabled closer and almost continual monitoring and evaluation of the progress of the projects by field staff of these organizations. In addition opportunities for more meaningful interventions were not easily missed and the Project was adaptively managed to take advantage of opportunities not foreseen at the design stage of the Project. Staff of Partner Organizations also received the requisite training to act as change agents, a benefit that will prevail in any future activities with a similar nature in the Project sites and elsewhere.

The range of tools and techniques used to develop the Project as a participatory activity was varied. Some new tools were developed and Project staff was trained in their use as the Project progressed. Many of these tools, such as Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA), Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA), Participatory Action Plan Development (PAPD), and Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation (PM&E) techniques are new to participatory community-based natural resource management. However through the Project, they have been adapted and used very successfully to ensure community participation in project conceptualization, planning, implementation and monitoring. A firm basis for a lasting community-based natural resource management in the Project sites has thus been established.

### **4.3 Achievement of Project Overall Goal**

The progress of the project was continuously evaluated by IUCNB using several tools and methods. Maps were used to show the location of interventions and types of changes occurring in the project area with the interventions; photographs and video recordings kept track of significant intervention events, such as re-excavation of canals and ponds, re-establishment of vegetative cover and establishment of plant nurseries. These

enabled the keeping of a sequential record of changes in the Project areas with progress of the Project.

Flow diagrams were prepared to indicate direct and indirect impact routes of the interventions and their relationship to local and other causes; network diagrams were used to describe the inter-relationships between people, the environment and interventions; Venn diagrams were used to show changes in relationships between groups, institutions and individuals; diaries were kept to record changes in the lives of individuals, groups and communities; and a matrix scoring system was adopted to compare the performance of target groups for outcomes and outputs.

All activities of the project have been thoroughly documented. Reports of activities at the project sites have been summarized in *Monthly Progress Reports* that gave a schedule of planned work, achievements, constraints, and suggested follow-up. *Visit Reports* summarized all visits and activities undertaken, and noted the findings from the visits and follow-up actions





sites, attention is also given to turtle and dolphin conservation, while at the Pagnar, Sanuar-Dakuar, and Hakaluki *Haor* sites, fish sanctuaries and swamp forest redevelopment were given special attention.

The RIE finds that in general, the overall goals of the project have been successfully achieved.

#### **4.4 Achievement of Project Outputs**

The Logical Framework of the Project gives four main outputs. The RIE makes the following summary observations regarding the success of the Project in delivering each output.

##### **4.4.1 Output 1 – Participatory resource management tools developed and practiced**

Workshops with local community participation were conducted to collectively develop the action plan for specific villages and locations. These *Participatory Action Plan Development* (PAPD) process gave ownership of the interventions to local communities. Appraisal of the status of the physical, hydrological, biological and management status of project areas used the *Participatory Rural Appraisal* (PRA) approach. Environmentally sound and sustainable agricultural methods and practices were also demonstrated to the local communities.

#### **4.4.2 Output 2 – Ecosystems improved, restored or rehabilitated**

Many examples of improved and rehabilitated ecosystems are evident and have been reported at all project sites. This included the unaided reestablishment of swamp vegetation cover, the return of previously rare fish, bird and mammal species (e.g. dolphins) and increased fish harvests. Additionally, the excavation of water channels that had degraded owing to sedimentation and neglect by local communities, restored canals that had in the past served the community as transportation and fish migration routes. The re-establishment of such canals not only improved fisheries in the local area by re-opening fish migration routes, but also helped reestablish traditional water-based communication routes that had been impaired.

Re-vegetation of barren areas with local wetland species was shown to also result in the return of species such as the Pallas's Fish Eagle and other species that had been lost from some of the Project sites. The establishment of sanctuaries for fish and other species has been shown to lead to better fish harvest in the following season.

Ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation is time consuming. Some of the revegetated areas are presently about 4 years old and it would be anticipated that these areas will have a more significant influence on the ecology of the local areas with time. Replanted *hijal* (*Barringtonia acutangula*) groves for example will reach maturity only in ten years. The RIE finds that the Project has been very successful in demonstrating the value of ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation to the local communities. Additionally there has been a significant start towards ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation that would not have been possible without the Project.

#### **4.4.3 Output 3 – Local institutions for sustainable development established and functioning**

Several institutions have been established in the project areas. These include the Village Environmental Committee (VEC), *haor* and floodplain Resource Management Committees (RMC) and at some locations Village Resource Management Committees (VRMC), and Village Group (VG). These groups have legal status as they have been registered with the Cooperative/Social Welfare

and Women Affairs Department of the GoB, and with the Upazilla Cooperative Department at the local government level.

In schools, Nature Clubs or Eco-clubs have been formed and teachers have been given training to organize activities and supervise the clubs. These clubs are also involved in managing and maintaining plant nursery plots on land donated by locals.

Wetland Management Training Centres (WMTC), have been established utilizing community resources and facilities donated by members of the community organizations at several floodplain Project sites. All Communities participating in the Project have been organized to benefit from the *Environment Fund* created under the Project to provide micro-credit facilities to enable them to start small income-generating acti



There appear to have been no attempt to make the baseline information generated by the Project more easily available through electronic access *via* the Internet, local area networks or specialist networks. Much of this baseline information could be “lost” in future as no permanent system of information storage and retrieval was established by the Project and knowledge of what information is available and where, resides largely among individuals who were not permanently employed by the Project nor the organizations engaged in implementing the project. The absence of such an information management system that could provide

targeted number at all Project sites with the exception of the Hakaluki *Haor* where the numbers achieved matched the targeted number (see Annex 5a – 5e). This is highly commendable of the Project.

#### **5.1.4 Curbing Soil Erosion**

Soil erosion around village mounds is a serious problem in the *haor* and floodplain areas especially during the monsoon season. Wave action erodes the village mounds in the *haor* areas and whole villages have sometimes been swept away during floods in the floodplain areas.

The Project explored several approaches to erosion control. The first was the use of a combination of geo-textiles and *chialla* (*Hemarthria protensa*) grass mats to protect the vulnerable areas in two villages in Pagnar Haor. Traditionally villagers have used mats from *chialla* grass to protect such areas. However *chialla* grass is no longer easily available in the *haor* areas and there was a need to explore augmentation of their use by a synthetic material. Nurseries to produce the grass were established. Water hyacinth- and bamboo-based erosion proofing methods were also demonstrated in 4 other villages. At other locations, the GoB and aid agencies have used concrete walls, or dykes of boulders to protect the villages more severely threatened with soil erosion.

The second approach to erosion control explored was by the establishment of groves of water tolerant swamp vegetation such as *hijal* (*Barringtonia acutangula*) and *koroch* (*Pongamia pinnata*) along areas exposed to wave action. For example, a total of about 11 km of vegetative hedge had been planted around

and canals to re-establish connectivity between *beels*, streams and rivers and rehabilitate the aquatic ecosystem; establishing fish conservation areas that was off-limits to fishing and enabled fish stocks to survive the dry season; and activities to rehabilitate biotic diversity and associated wildlife (see Annex 5a – 5e and IUCNB 2005<sup>10</sup>).

These interventions appear to have achieved resounding success at all locations that they have been undertaken. Anecdotal information from locals suggests that fish harvests have increased and where canals have been re-excavated, transportation from one part of the area to another has been made easier.

At several locations, wildlife that had not been seen for some time had begun to make a comeback (e.g. Pallas's Fish eagle, Ring Monitor Lizard *Varanus salvator*

full list is published in Choudhury 2005<sup>11</sup>). Restoration of these forests resulted in the return of wildlife species such as the mongoose, fishing cat, snakes and frogs to the wetland areas. The trees also provide additional income to the local communities. It has been estimated that local communities can earn up to USD200,000 per year by pollarding 200,000 trees annually 5 to 7 years after planting. This is a major contribution to the income of the local communities and contributes to the SEMP objective of



measures needed to protect endangered species of plants (especially medicinal plants), rare fish such as the ekthota (*Dermogenys pussillus*), teri puti (*Puntius terio*), meni (*Nundus nundus*), rani (*Botia dario*)

(bamboo, reeds, palm leaf mid-rib, cow dung) are collected for cooking. Under the Project, initiatives were undertaken to introduce a more efficient stove for burning local plant materials for cooking, as well as to introduce new and non-traditional sources of energy. This included successful demonstration of the generation of biogas and its use for cooking in the gas stove and in the installation of solar energy panels for electricity generation in remote villages not connected to the electricity grid.

All demonstration projects were successful and highly appreciated by the local communities involved. Use of more efficient stoves, energy from biogas and generating electricity from the sun relieves some pressure on the natural resources harvested for energy generation. They contribute towards a more sustainable pattern of resource use by the local communities.

### **5.3 Promoting Institutions for Sustainable Development**

Establishing and maintaining sound and lasting local institutions for sustainable development is a crucial step in ensuring that the interventions initiated under the Project will continue to be practiced after its end. Other than that investment in building awareness and providing environmental education to the next generation are strong prerequisites for sustainability of the community based wetland resources management initiatives started by the Project. Evaluation of the efficacy of the Project in promoting institutions for sustainable development is made under the following topics.

#### **5.3.1 Community-based Institutions**

The Project successfully organised local communities into viable groups and registered these groups with the authorities to give them legal status. Groups were structured according to the structure shown in Figure 2. Village Environment Committees (VEC) were all accorded legal recognition by registering them with the Department of Cooperative or Department of Social Welfare at the *upazila* level. Vulnerable Groups (usually women) were registered with government's Women Affairs Department.

Wherever possible all groups were given training to build their capacity to work independently and to continue the activities of the group after the Project has ended.



### **5.3.2 Environment Funds and Microcredit Facilities**

The Project successfully established mechanisms to provide financial support from the SEMP Environment Fund at the Project sites. This enabled vulnerable groups comprising primarily of women in the communities, to start income generating activities (such as tailoring, livestock rearing) as additional and alternative sources of income that made them less dependent on the wetland resources.

### **5.3.3 Clubs and Folk Groups**

For future generations the Project focussed on activities that were targeted at school children and youths. These clubs were called Nature Clubs or Environmental Clubs and they organised debates, established nature trails, conducted bird watching field trips, organized meetings and seminars, observed significant environment days, and organised competitions such as book-reading, essay-writing, art and quiz competitions, folk drama performance, and landscape gardening activities. The Project successfully established a total of about 31 such clubs with a total of more than 2000 members.

Also established were two Folk Groups. The establishment of these groups enabled the Project to explore the effectiveness of folk drama as a medium for promoting environmental and nature conservation and their relationship to livelihood enhancement. The folk groups were based in the Padma-Jamuna and Brahmaputra Floodplains. They travelled widely making presentations and have met with considerable success and acknowledgement as an effective medium for raising awareness in community-based wetland resource management.

## **5.4 Community-based Resource Management**

Promoting community-based resource management is the central objective to the Project. To a large extent, the Project has been very successful in engaging local communities at all stages of project development, planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation. Local communities were involved in the baseline studies to determine *inter alia* the demographic characteristics of communities, their socio-economic background and status, land-use, poverty rate, and resource availability and utilization. They actively participated in the preparation of actions plans and in providing inputs to the Special Studies on the conservation status of the Pallas's Fish

Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucoryphus*), Ganges River Dolphin (*Platanista gangetica*), snails, bats and butterflies of the wetlands. The success of the

erosion protection, seed fish, solar panels and other equipment for solar electrification, materials for nesting sites of the Pallas's Fish Eagle, and billboards for raising conservation awareness. The provision of such resources is crucial to the success of community participation because they provide tangible benefits that local communities can depend on. Provision of resources is also indicative of the commitment of the organizations to the ultimate and longer-term goals of the communities.

#### **5.4.3 Facilitators and Extension Agents**

Staff of the Partner Organizations, IUCNB and other organizations and government departments were involved and acted as facilitators and extension agents for the changes that were suggested to the practice of resource management in the Project sites. The chances are that the local communities are more likely to change the traditional way they managed the resources in the wetlands, with the help and assistance of these facilitators and extension agents.

#### **5.4.4 Awareness Raising**

A varied range of awareness raising activities was undertaken by the Project. This included awareness programmes in the schools, nature clubs, use of the folk drama groups mentioned in Section 5.3.3, video shows, circulation of posters and "wall papers", observances of national and world environment days, erection of conservation and management billboards, art competitions, and nature trips. Overall, more than 800 awareness meetings were held under the auspices of the Project. The field visits suggest that the Project was successful in raising awareness of the local communities to the benefits of utilizing wetland resources in their area in a more sustainable way and of the need to collectively manage the resources as a community.

### **5.5. Improving Quality of Life**

Improving the quality of life of communities in the *haor* and floodplain areas is one of the key aims of the Project. In doing this, the role of women has been given special emphasis. Women in rural areas have always been involved in the utilization of resources and the welfare of families. Overall, the Project has paid close attention to these issues. The Project undertook detailed studies of

the problems faced by women around six villages in the Chanda Beel area, Kadambari, Majam para, Maluchi, Rajpur and Fenerbak.

The report by Shuriya Farzana et al 2004<sup>13</sup> on gender perspectives in wetland resource management provides a comprehensive summary of the analysis of gender issues in the Project sites. In general, support from the Project received overwhelming support from women groups. Key interventions are in the following main areas.

### **5.5.1 Financial and In-kind Support**

Women groups in the Project sites, were given access to a Project initiated Environment Fund. The fund essentially provides micro-credit to women groups to start income generating activities that were intended to reduce their dependence on natural resources. It was observed during the RIE that there was strong and positive response to the funds. Communities receiving funds had bank

### 5.5.3 Enhancing the Role of Women

The role of women appears to have been enhanced through participation in the Project. Although women in rural Areas of Bangladesh has always had a role in the social fabric of the local communities, the women in the communities visited during the RIE appear to have gained confidence and trust in their own capacity to generate additional income for their families. This is especially true among the women groups that had successfully managed the Environment Fund they received from the Project. The majority of the groups visited concurred that any economic gains they make will be re-invested in the education of their children.

The IUCNB report on gender perspectives (Shuriya Farzana *et al.* 2004<sup>14</sup>) provides a comparative evaluation of the gender sensitivity of the Project. It notes that as a result of the Project, there has been increased involvement of women in resource enhancement and rehabilitation but their involvement in workshops and training sessions remain inadequate.

## 6 Project Continuity

### 6.1 Sustainability and Replicability

The Project has demonstrated that community-based *haor* and floodplain resource management can be successfully promoted and implemented amongst the rural communities of Bangladesh. It should however be noted that the process is time consuming and highly dependent on many factors including:

- a. availability of sources of financial support from outside the communities;
- b. favourable local governance environment that views changes to the *status quo* of resource management arrangements favourably;
- c.



- d. availability of facilitators, change agents and trainers to provide the impetus for self and collective improvement within the communities;
- e. existence of viable community-based organizations (CBOs) that have legal standing and support from local community leaders at several levels, government officials and other stakeholders such as the commercial and business community; and
- f. communities that live in harmony and are not preoccupied with local conflicts and/or are caught in unfavourable resource tenure systems.

While the Project has shown that it is possible to establish community-based resource management frameworks in the Project sites, the sustainability of the initiatives appears to be quite dependent on continued infusion of funds through environment fund facilities, technical assistance, and supervisory and management support by organizations as IUCNB and the Partner Organizations. Nevertheless, in most of the villages visited, the communities indicated that they were confident that they could continue with the activities started by the Project after it has ended.

Many of the measures towards community-based resource management introduced by the Project appear to be replicable in other locations. Some of the practices introduced, such as the establishment and legal registration of village groups and resource management committees, publication and dissemination of technical manuals, and conduct of training courses, can be easily replicated for the benefit of other sites and communities. Some techniques and practices such as *baira* culture, and livestock rearing, have been widely replicated. It was reported during the RIE that some local communities have made plans to extend their successful projects to other localities. Such replications will ensure the sustainability of activities and further promote community-based resource management.

## **6.2 Documentation of Best Practices**

Many elements of the Project have been documented and the reports published. A list of published reports and those that are in the process of being published is given in Annex 3. In addition numerous guidelines and materials for training and awareness initiatives for use by the local communities have been produced and used during the Project. A list of these unpublished reports is given at the end of Annex 6.



It should however be noted that the review of legislation and policies at all levels of government was a specific focus of another project of SEMP and hence the role of this Project in influencing legislation and policies were indirect in nature. At the national level, it was learnt that Bangladesh has prepared a Draft Wetlands Policy that is in the process of being finalized and implemented.

At the local government level (Union Parishad - UP), the Project has been successful in harnessing support from the Parishad in many ways. In some Unions, the Chair of the UP are members of the VRMC. In others a member of the UP is the member of the VRMC. At some locations, UP members do not become members of the VRMC, but they provide support to assist the implementation of project activities of the VRMC or any other group involved in efforts to promote sustainable natural resource management. At some locations the Chair of the UP had donated land or a building to enable training or awareness activities to be held. Such involvement has a two-way effect on policies. It enables GoB policies to be transmitted to the local communities while enabling the views and responses of the local communities to influence policies at the GoB level. Close rapport with local Member of Parliaments (MPs) in some areas had also facilitated the implementation of the Project and the communication of vital policy requirements to GoB and *vice versa*.

The RIE concludes that the Project ha4()4.9( being)

The draft End-Term Evaluation report provides quantitative and qualitative details of the status of activities at each Project site for each of the four outputs listed in the Project's logical framework. It assessed the Project for efficiency (what target activities have been achieved) and efficacy (what objectives have been met), and coverage (how many people the Project was able to reach) based on the objectives and outputs listed in the Project LFA. The evaluation focussed mainly on the successes of the Project. Lessons can also be learnt from examples of what did not work out as planned. For completeness, the evaluation should also include this aspect.

Two questions not thus far addressed in relation to the Project as a whole relates to the performance of the Project against the Principles of the Ecosystem Approach of the Convention on Biological Diversity, and against the Wise Use Guidelines of the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands. These principles and guidelines are becoming increasingly important in the design of projects and the evaluation their potential contribution to sustainable development. The following sections provides evaluations of the performance of the Project in relation to these principles and guidelines.

**a. Evaluation of Performance Against Principles of the Ecosystem Approach**

The 12 Principles of the Ecosystem Approach was adopted by countries party to the Convention On Biological Diversity (CBD) as Decision V6 at the 7<sup>th</sup> Conference of Parties (COP-7). Because of its nature, the Project was in full compliance (see Annex 8) with Principle 1 (objectives of management a societal choice), Principle 2 (management decentralised to the lowest level), Principle 7 (ecosystem approach undertaken at the appropriate spatial and temporal scale), Principle 8 (objectives for ecosystem management set for long term), Principle 9 (management recognises that change is inevitable), Principle 10 (the ecosystem approach seek appropriate balance between use and conservation), Principle 11 (consider all forms of relevant information), and Principle 12 (the approach should involve all relevant sectors of society and scientific disciplines).

The Project is also in close conformity of the ecosystem approach with the remaining principles. There was ample evidence that the communities were careful in evaluating the effects of their activities on adjacent ecosystems (Principle 3), the wetland ecosystems in the *haors* and floodplains were managed in an economic context (Principle 4), the conservation of ecosystem structure and function was a priority target (Principle 5), and that the ecosystems were managed within the limits of their functioning (Principle 6).



The Task Manger is well aware of the requirements for these reports and is fully prepared to submit the reports as required at the end of the Project. Other than these reports, IUCNB has published and will continue to publish a wide range of specific baseline, activity and technical reports of particular aspects of the Project (see Annex 3). These are highly informative reports that represent the corpus of knowledge about wetland resource management derived from the Project. They would be valuable as future reference for others embarking on similar initiatives.

### **7.3 Concluding Workshop**

A Concluding Workshop is planned for the end of the Project and is expected to be held in early 2006. Participants will be drawn *inter alia* from the following organizations:

1. Ministry of Environment and Forest
2. Sub-Implementing Agencies (SIA) of SEMP
3. Forest Department
3. Department of Environment
4. United Nations Development Programme UNDP
5. Canadian International Development Agency CIDA
6. Coastal and Wetlands Biodiversity Management Project
7. IUCN members in Bangladesh
8. Other related Ministries
9. Other NGOs who are working in Wetland Resource Management
10. Selected beneficiaries from the project sites
11. Selected village committee members

The Workshop will in essence serve to draw the attention of the funding agencies, the GoB, NGOs and other stakeholders on the achievements of the Project and on possible directions for follow-up actions.

### **7.4 Potential Follow-up Projects**

There was a strong desire at IUCNB to maintain the continuity of the Project. Future projects arising out of the current Project that IUCNB can pursue to develop and seek funding support are roughly described in the following sections. These preliminary project ideas were mooted in discussions with the Task Manager of the Project and the IUCNB Country Representative. Some input was also obtained from discussions with the staff of Partner Organizations and the SEMP Programme Coordinator. They are clustered into three major categories *viz.* projects aimed at establishing knowledge networks on community-based natural resource management; projects aimed at

promoting sustainable environmental governance in Bangladesh; and projects to understand better workable conflict resolution methods and techniques.

**a. Project to Establish Knowledge Networks on Community-based Natural Resource Management**

The Project produced a wealth of information, some of which have been captured in published and unpublished reports. These reports will





## **ii. Project to Extend Community-based Resource Management to Other Communities**

While the Project has been successful in promoting the community-based approach in natural resource management in communities in the *haor* and floodplain areas, the approach should be extended to other communities either with similar or different ecological and social settings. This would be the acid test as to the applicability of the model on a wider scale. Owing to the experience gained through the Project the period required to mobilize communities could be anticipated to be considerably shorter than was needed in the current Project. Pitfalls experienced by the current Project can be avoided and suitable local NGOs that can act as facilitators can be quickly selected and engaged for the proposed project. Such support for local groups would also serve to empower local communities for participatory environmental governance.

## **iii. Project on Capacity Building for Community-based Environmental Governance**

Capacity building activities for community-based natural resource management should be an on-going process. Much is required in terms of expertise that is available within local communities. A project should be developed to ensure that local communities continue to receive training to build capacity so as to be self reliant and sustainable. Training for capacity building should include courses that can develop better understanding of local ecological systems, sustainable resource use and the economics of sustainability. Training is also required to make participatory project monitoring and evaluation a success.

## **iv. Project on Legal and Institutional Requirements for Sustainable Environmental Governance at the Local Level**

Promoting sustainable environmental governance requires changes in the way the local governments are constituted and how they operate. A possible follow-up project could be designed to examine the changes needed to the legislative and institutional framework in order to support a paradigm shift towards sustainable environmental governance. This will include an examination of existing land tenure and leasing systems and of the changes required to bring forth not only a more sustainable tenure and land

lease system but also a more transparent resource use governance structure.

This project would also need to examine concurrent policy interventions by the local and national governments that would be necessary if access to natural resources by the poor is to be improved towards alleviating poverty and enabling sustainable livelihoods. Changing access to benefits accruing to poor communities may also require changes to the existing regulatory framework. The goal of this project is to explore, document and suggest the appropriate regulatory framework that would be ensure better access to resources by the poor.

#### **v. Project on Sustainable Environmental Governance Mechanisms**

Sustainable environmental governance implies full involvement of local communities. The current Project has started the process of establishing local Community Based Organizations (CBO) that has legal status through registration with the appropriate government authority. In order to promote sustainable environment governance, these CBOs must be linked to the local government structure. The purpose of this proposed project is to examine how this linked may be forged and achieved.

#### **c. Projects to Better Understand Conflict Resolution Methods and Techniques**

Many of the interventions implemented in the current Project were made after careful consideration of all their positive and negative impacts on the environment, the people and their livelihoods. For example, the depth of re-excavation of the Ranapasa Canal that had become sedimented and no longer connected the large Madaripur Beel Route Canal with Chanda Beel, was a compromise between the conflicting needs of the boat operators who wanted a deeper canal so that larger boats could ply the route, and the fisher communities who wanted a shallower canal so that the water from Chanda Beel would not completely drain out during the dry season thereby destroying the fish stocks in the area. Similarly there were conflicts to be resolved between the interests of cattle owners who wanted more grazing land, as opposed to those in the community who wanted to re-establish plantations of swamp trees so as to protect the land from erosion while at the same time improving the quality of the habitat for fish and wildlife.

As follow-up, a project should be designed to document the nature of conflicts and how they were resolved in the *haor* and floodplain areas of the Project. The project should include a large local community training component so that those involved in projects to sustainably govern the environment and natural resources will be well versed with available methods and techniques for conflict resolution.