ANNEX 1: TERMS OF REFERENCE

World Heritage Component Programme for 201239-16. IUCN senior manageme (mprimarily Programme Directors) and the World Commission of Protected Areas

3. To assess the organisational capacity of the Programme

In particular theollowing questions will be looked into:

- Does the Programme benefit from adeqsatategic leadership?
- Do the Programme governance and operating structurfescilitates performance?
- Does the Pogramme have sufficient and skilled human resourtesuccessfully implement its programme?
- Does the Programme have sufficient and well man**äged**cial resourcesto successfully implement its programme?
- What is the Programme current capacity in terofsmobilising the union (working with and deli16(n)-11(g)]TJ 0 Tc 0 Tw -30.3b.fkirJ 00.337 -2d 1 Tf 0. w -30.3-30.1 IU(I)Clae

- A mapping of the Project intervention logic
- A refined methodology and a draft evaluation matrix

An Action Plan will be developed with the

ANNEX 2: PROTOCOLS

Protocol for IUCN staff

1. Please describe your working relationship with the World Heritage Programme

2. Relevance

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
2.1 The work of the IUCN World Heritage Programme is highly relevant to the World Heritage Convention	t	t				

3.16 Comments (please reference the question)

Efficiency and Cost -effectiveness

4.3 What value do you see in the volunteer contributions of the World Commission on Protected Areas and the Species Survival Commission?

Note: we cover more aspects of efficiency through the organizational aspects section (next)

5. Organizational aspects

Please note that individual protocols exist for:

- x World Heritage Programme Staff
- x The Director General, Deputy Director General/Managing Director, Global Director Biodiversity Conservation Group and the Director, Global Programme on Protected Areas
- x Chair, Vice Chair (World Heritage) for WCPA, Chair, SSC
- x Regional World Heritage Focal Points

And should be used during the same interview as this protocol

6. Impact

6.1 In what ways do you see that World Heritage sites are beneficial for biodiversity conservation?

6.2 Do you see World Heritage as a "flagship" example of protected areas? What does this mean for protected areas work more generally?

6.3 What would be the implication for the World Heritage Convention of IUCN not working on World Heritage?

7. Looking forward

7.1 How do you see the World Heritage Convention evolving in the next ten years? What role do you see for IUCN?

7.2 Sonre4.435 -2.228 Td [(7.)-7(2 Sonr)- [(6.)-7(y)9(o)-7(r7(ec)9(t5b(w)14 Tw 4.)-2(t)-7(o)11(r)-6(,)4(G)-7(l)4217

Protocol for external stakeholders

1. Please describe your wo rking relationship with the World Heritage Programme

2. Relevance

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

Strongly Agree Neither agree nor

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Don't know
3.5 The IUCN World Heritage Programme's guidelines on nominations, Outstanding Universal Value and WH management and planning are very useful knowledge products	t	t	†	t	t	t

ANNEX 3 : SURVEY

Badman, T. and Bomhard, B. 2008. World Heritage and Protected Areas. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. <u>https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/edocs/2008-006.pdf</u>

Badman, T., Bomhard, B., Fincke, A., Langley, J., Rosabal, P. and Sheppard, D. 2008. Outstanding universal value: Standards for natural world heritage. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. <u>https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/documents/2008-036.pdf</u>

Bertzky, B., Shi, Y., Hughes1(R)-2J 0 Tc 2(/)(y)193.12 0(16)Tj E_4-6(/MCID , B)20(.,)11(S)9(h)7(i)10(()-11(I(, Y)2(10))) - 10(10

Olsen, N., Bishop, J. and Anstee, S., 2011. Exploring ecosystem valuation to move towards net positive impact on biodiversity in the mining sector. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. (IUCN and Rio Tinto Technical Series No.1) <u>http://data.iucn.org/dbtw-wpd/edocs/2011-062.pdf</u>

Rebanks Consulting Ltd and Trends Business Research Ltd. 2009. World Heritage Status: Is there opportunity for economic gain? UK: Lake District World Heritage Project. <u>http://www.lakeswhs.co.uk/documents/WHSTheEconomicGainFinalReport.pdf</u>

Tabet, J. 2010. Review of ICOMOS' working methods and procedures for the evaluation of cultural and mixed properties. Paris, France: ICOMOS http://www.icomos.org/world heritage/WH Committee 34th session Brasilia/JT Final report en.pdf

Temple, H.J., Anstee, S., Ekstrom, J., Pilgrim, J.D., Rabenantoandro, J., Ramanamanjato, J.B., Randriatafika, F. & Vincelette, M. 2012. Forecasting the path towards a Net Positive Impact on biodiversity for Rio Tinto QMM. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. . (IUCN and Rio Tinto Technical Series No.2) http://www.iucn.org/knowledge/publications_doc/publications/?uPubsID=4711

Thorsell, J. 2003. World Heritage Convention: Effectiveness 1992-2002 and lessons for governance. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN.

http://www.iucn.org/about/work/programmes/gpap_home/gpap_capacity2/gpap_pub/gpap_effectivenesspu b/?2245/World-Heritage-Convention-Effectiveness-1992-2002-and-Lessons-for-Governance

Turner, S.D. 2012. World Heritage sites and the extractive industries. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. http://cmsdata.iucn.org/downloads/whs_and_extractive_industries_20_jun_12.pdf

Turner, S.D. 2009. Strategic Review of the IUCN Programme on Protected Areas. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. <u>http://cmsdata.iucn.org/downloads/programme_on_protected_areas___strategic_review_2009.pdf</u>

UNESCO 2013. Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention. Paris, France: UNESCO World Heritage Centre e

World Heritage Programme project/internal documents

IUCN (no date). MAVA Foundation Proposal - The World Heritage Agenda for Nature: Project Document

IUCN (no date). MAVA Foundation Proposal - The World Heritage Agenda for Nature: Budget and Financial Strategy

IUCN (no date). MAVA Foundation Proposal - The World Heritage Agenda for Nature: Technical and Financial Report Year 1 & 2 (2010-11 and 2011-2012)

IUCN 2005. The WHP Quadriennal and annual workplan 2005-2011

IUCN 2009. Global Protected Programme on Protected Areas/World Commission on Protected Areas (WCPA): Component Programme Monitoring Plan.

IUCN.2010. Swiss Federal Office for the Environment Proposal -