Evaluation Abstract

Title, author and date of the evaluation report:

North Africa Biodiversity Programme, Phase III: Internal Review, prepared by Meg Gawler, June 2003

Name of project, programme or organizational unit:

North Africa Biodiversity Programme, Phase III

Objectives of the project, programme or mandate of the organizational unit:

- 1. To promote the conservation of endangered and economically useful plants in North Africa, with special reference to medicinal and economically useful plants.
- 2. To promote indigenous knowledge and the equitable participation of people in the management and conservation of endangered and economically useful plants in North Africa.

IUCN area of specialisation: Biodiversity

Geographical area: Algeria, Egypt, Libya, Morocco and Tunisia, WESCANA Region

Project or programme duration, length of existence of organisational unit:

November 2001 – October 2004

Overall budget of the project, programme or organizational unit: CHF 1,910,000

Donor(s): Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC)

Objectives of the evaluation:

The internal review aimed to examine the: (1) project design; (2) expectations and perceptions of IUCN, partners, SDC; (3) feasibility of continuing the project for the remainder of Phase III – including capacity of the implementers, opportunities for refocusing the project, and synergies with the IUCN Mediterranean Programme; (4) management from IUCN Headquarters; (5) factors supporting effective delivery, and (6) provide recommendations to guide the remainder of Phase III.

Type of evaluation: Mid-Term Project Evaluation; Internal

Period covered by the evaluation: November 2001 – April 2003

Commissioned by: IUCN and SDC

Audience: IUCN and SDC

Evaluation team: External

Methodology used:

The evaluation methodology included scoping interviews, document review, site visits to the implementing organisations and the project areas, in-depth interviews, group discussions, and a debriefing and feedback session at IUCN Headquarters. The review mission visited all five countries over a period of 24 days, and met with a total of 116 respondents from different respondent groups (project team, IUCN staff, national and regional partners and IUCN Members, Swiss embassies and SDC, and project beneficiaries).

Questions of the evaluation:



•	The project in Tunisia is operating according to a different workplan than the one in the agreed project document. In relation to the activity framework of the project document – i.e., the project's contractual obligations – to date no progress has yet been made in Tunisia with respect to medicinal plants in Phase III. The observations made by the review from a brief visit to the project site of Phase II call into question whether there were lasting results from Phase IIn Tuni

1.	The North Africa Biodiversity Programme should be continued,	