Do you want to upgrade your current website or relaunch the website?

In Section 2.3. Is Information Architecture defined or can it be improved during the project?
Please confirm if the IUCN team will retain all or most of the content on https://panorama.solutions/fr/explorer during the redesign process.
Which documents or artefacts can be made available for the elaborated information architecture, such as visualisation of page structures, user flow or taxonomy tables, etc.?
The document seems to indicate different levels of in different sections. Does the site require us to take what's currently there and modernise it slightly, completely redesign it or apply a design which will be supplied to us? Do you require us to supply a design concept as part of

Please elaborate on the changes that you are looking for in the feedback form & Solution Review process (forms & backend).

 In section 2.9. REQ-76 Can we provide general guidelines and online materials that we use with all our clients, and materials specific depending on Panorama functions, or do all materials have to be Panorama specific (branding of general guidelines for the entire Panorama project)?

In section 3.7.2 Map Explorer You wrote that Map Explorer is a "… feature that does already exist on the website…". But when we press the link it goes to some other external site https://www.sportanddev.org/en/connect / Can you send us the link that points to the existing panorama map that you're referring to.

In section 3.7.2 Map Explorer Can you elaborate what does *Additional search and filtering abilities* mean for Map Explorer in terms of functionality?

	Please share the current web hosting architecture.
	In section 2.9. REQ-66 Can you elaborate more on this request? What type of messaging are we talking about - One-way, two-way? Inside the CMS admin or some other type of interface. Do you currently have this feature?
	Is there not covered in the RFP that would help us in winning this project and delivering something amazing for you?
	In section 2.9. REQ-14 and REQ-71 The requests are not MoSCoW rated, can you provide the rating?
	We believe that all the technical documents will be provided to us in English language. Please confirm

The platform needs to be migrated to Drupal 8 or 9 and we would like to implement some major improvements and new features.

The basic information architecture should remain as it is on the current platform, however minor changes and improvements are permissible and desirable; e.g. the classification of the content (solutions) will be improved, which concerns content tags and filters.

yes, all content (Solutions, news stories, resources) will be retained. Minor changes to structure and text of static pages is expexted.

taxonomy tables, user stories, all required information on architecture of current site (some of which may c

The corporate design (logo, color palette, ...) will stay the same. We do not require an actual redesign of the site and no major changes to the information architecture, the basic "look and feel" should remain the same. As such, we are not expecting a detailed design concept as part of the proposal or at the interview stage. Any tweaks to the current design, as well as design and placement of new features on the site, can be discussed and agreed during project implementation stage.

The current web platform is the basis for the information architecture and visual ID of the new platform. We can provide all information about the current platform that is required, liaising closely with the current service provider as needed. The branding guidelines concern the entire PANORAMA initiative, i.e. are not specific to the web platform.

Build upon desisting design

Yes, the corporate design (logo, color palette, ...) will stay the same. We do not require an actual redesign of the site and no major changes to the information architecture, the basic "look and feel" should remain the same.

yes, there are general brand guidelines for the PANORAMA initiative.

see above

see above

We wish to remain with Drupal, upgrading from Drupal 7 to Drupal 8 or 9. We like that Drupal has an easy-to-use, intuitive backend, given that the site has a large number of administrators, working across different institutions, along with the other advantages of using a widespread open-source CMS.

We would like to stay with Drupal, upgrading to Drupal 8 or 9. Most likely it will be necessary to develop a customized map module. We would prefer to integree an

Solution or Uptake story providers are users of the platform who create user generated content (e.g. Solutions). They are typically practitioners who implement projects in the nature conservation and sustainable development field, and then publish Solution case studies about their projects on PANORAMA. Collaboration partners are other institutions, web resources and initiatives which have similar aims as PANORAMA, and with whom PANORAMA collaborates actively (see also "Collaborators" page on current platform). One key objective of the redesign is to enable interoperability with other priority peer web platforms (i.e. some of the "collaborators").

There is no upper limit to the number of solutions and solution providers/uptake story providers. We currently have close to 900 solution providers. The new platform should cater for at least 3,000 registered solution providers. Side note: The "uptake stories" feature and associated user role of "uptake story provider" is being reconsidered and might not exist on the new platform.

Solution providers are practitioners who implement nature conservation and sustainable development projects. They need to register on the platform and can then create a draft Solution case study. After review, this case study gets published and is visibly linked to the solution provider's user account, i.e. it is published under the solution provider's name. Solution providers can revise or unpublish their solution at any time after it has been published, by logging into their user account.

On the current website, we have profiles for users (external) and site administrators (internal, i.e. staff of any of the PANORAMA partner organizations). This will remain the same on the new platform. A "user" is anyone external who wishes to use the website, whether it is for submitting a solution, uptake story, reviewing a solution, or simply browsing. Administrators are those colleagues administering the backend of the platform - either the entire platform, or just a specific component of it (=a portal/Community).

We mean "appoint" other members, i.e. assign them the role of group owners, with associated rights.

Each draft Solution case study undergoes a review process (quality check) before it is being published on the platform. Currently, the entire solution submission-review-revision-publishing process takes place on the web platform, and the review process has been custom built. For the new platform, we envision the use of an external text collaboration service for this Solution review process.

The feedback form should make use of an external service provider and it should be possible to easily aggregate and evaluate responses.
You can use general guidelines and just adapt them where needed. They don't need to follow PANORAMA branding, since these materials are only meant for internal use (i.e. for all colleagues inside the PANORAMA partnership who work with the platform as administrators), not for external communications.

Apologies, here is the correct link:
https://panorama.solutions/en/explorer/map
This can be discussed and defined in more detail during

On server side: The current production server implements a classic LAMP stack (Linux, Apache, MySQL, PHP). PHP is currently locked to PHP 7.3 as the site in its current state is not compatible with PHP 8+. There is one feature that uses AWS Lambda - this feature fetches the current Twitter

hese include various content types like Solutions, Building Blocks, Organization Profiles. There is a total of 12

en related to each other (solutions for example reference one or more building blocks), and all content types

it type (the 12 mentioned above) has its own template. Furthermore, there are various view modes for cont

s are build on various fields. Solutions for example are build using more than 60 fields. For most content type

ent types as they are dynamically rendered in various contexts (basically using views, references or custom d

es and taxonomy terms there can basically be translations in english, spanish and french