# Workshop Report

Prepared byMartha C.McConnell LawsonW. Brigham Thomas Laughlin Lisa Speer April 2013

The authors would like to thank the following individuals for their valuable contributions and assistance: Katie Wagner, Dotbée Herr, Craig Beatty, all the workshappendees and report reviewers.

IUCN,NRDCand UAFwould like to thank the J.M. Kaplan Fundhe John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation and the Oak Foundation their generous support for this project.

Photo credit: U.S. Coast Guard Coast Guard Cutter Healy, right, approaches the Rufszigged tanker Renda while breaking ice around the veissethe Bering Sedanuary 10, 2012.

# Table of Contents

1. Introduction	.7
2. Background	.9
2.1 Ecological Characteristics of the Bering Strait Super EBSAs	.9
2.2 Subsistence Use of the Bering Strait Region	10
2.3 Shipping in the Bering Strait Region	11

3. \	Workshop Outcomes	.1.3	3
3.1	Voluntary Measures fo hippers	.14	ļ

### 1. Introduction

Warming ocean temperature and the transatic loss of ice cover is opening Arctic waters to new and expanded shipping, fishing esearch, offshore oil development, tourism, and other economic activities. Trans OE š] v ^ •š shippšir] gvoy ages will likely grow substantially in number as summer sea ice continues to retreat. All transactic and much destinational shipping will necessarily transit the Bering Strait, a 53 mile wide passage which provides the only connection between the Pacific and Arctic Oceans. The egion is defined legally as an international strational strational by the United State and Russia, and ringed by numerous small communities and indigenous populations. The region is also physically and biologically dynamic sea ice conditions of all types are found as onally within this natural bottleneck.

The growth inArctic marineoperations is due in large part to natural resource developmentation the region and greater economic ties of theretic to the global economyBering Strait transitisncreased from 245 in 2008to 325 in 2012. Ships includetankers, cargo ships, contaein ships, tugs, offshore supply vessels, landing craft, fishing vessels, passenger vessels, offshore drill ships, oil spill response vessels, and cruise ships of various size hill vessel activity is light compared to other regions of the world, the capatity to provide aid and support for these vessels is extrlgrhimited. For example, only 7-8% of the ArcticOcean has been charted to international avigation standards. There are limited aids to navigation and Automatic Identification System (AIS)eczage. Arctic waterback deep water ports or refuge for large shipsand real-time weather and ice flow forecastare limited For the U.S. Arctic, the nearest Coast Guard facilities are Kodiak and Dutch Harbors, 800nm and 1000nm, respectively, from the Arctic Circle. While sea ice loiss acceleratingduring summer monthsdue to warmer global temperatures the regional weather includes dense fog, extreme cold, severe starnos unpredictable ice flows. This is evidenced by the challenge faced witspeect to the January 2012 delivery of fuel to Nome, AK More recently, the tug Aivig lost the Arctidass drilling unit, the Kulluk, in December 2012 which later ranaground by violent weather on the southeast shoreline SitkalidakIsland carrying approximately 143,000 gallons of diesel and 12,000 gallons of other oil produtesa-5(il)3()-12(p)3(r)12(o)-lt on v



Figure 1. A depictiov } (  $^{\mu}$  CE  $^{ ] v šZ}$  CE P]}v  $i \cdot \delta X > A CE v / \cdot o v U$ Beaufort Coast, 4) Wrangel Island (IUKORDC Workshop, 2010).

The project involves two workshopsThe firstworkshop held26-28 June2012 in Nome, Alaskavith 35 local, governmental, scientific, indigenous and environmental exp**fects**sed on identifying a range of potential measures to manage and protect important areas. These measures, presented in  $\hat{a}$ , report were grouped in four broad categories, 1) Communicationstreach, 2) Tools for Area Protection, 3) U.S./Russia bilateral opportunities, and 4) The polar code for ships being developed by the International Maritime Organization (IMO).

Building off the first meeting, the second workshop, hosted in Washingt@h,oD 31 October 02 November focused on possible means of implementing the measures identified. The meeting agenda and list ofattendeesare attached as annexes to this report. Views expressed at the **hopks**ere not for attribution. This report reflets a meeting summary prepared by IUCN/NRDC/UAF. Although workshopattendeeshad the opportunity to review and comment on a draft report, the final report does not necessarily reflect theiews of individuals who attended the workshop

<sup>2</sup> Nome workshop repose 2012:

Pacific walrus and breeding and feedingabitat for extensive seabird colonies includiting kbilled and common murres black-legged kittiwakes horned puffins and blackguillemots.

Wrangel Island is one of the three most important biologitation areas of Russian Beringliawas established as a Regional Wildlife Reserve in 1960 and as a Republic Wildlife Reserve in 1968. In 1976, the island was designated as a State Structure Reserve (Zapovednik).

Twelve nautical miles of the **an**ine area around the island weinecluded in the Wrangel Island State Nature Reserve in 1997, adding 1.4 million hectacres to the Reserve. This was supplemented in 1999 with the addition of a **24** autical mile buffer zone. In 2004, the area (without the buffer zone) was designated as a World Heritage Site. In 2009, the buffer zone was abolished by the regional government, but was recently established in December 2012 (see Box 1).

#### 2.2 Subsistence Use of the Bering Strait Region

The regional indigenous culture and its subsistence hunting and fishing traditions continue to be vibrant today. The ocearwaters in the Bering Strait region are some of the most biologically productive waters in the word. Fish, seals, walrus and whales are key components of indigenous diets, as they have been for over 1000 years. The presence of these animals is dependent on the rich primary productivity associated with sea ice and the confluence of major oceanographients through the Bering Strait. In spring, hunters may have to go long distances (up t@@@autical miles from shore) in small boats to find prey. Certain key areasuch asmarine mammal haul out areas, ice edges, nutrient upwellings and coastal wetlads and surrounding waters support healthy populations fish, birds and marine mammals. The spring subsistence harvest is key to the survival of indigenous communities in the area. During this period, both hunters and passing ships are likelyste the same open wateareas. An increase in shipping activity has the potential to negatively impact the natural environment. Note and speed of boats can drive off animals and compromise the safety of hunters in small lboats addition, marine pollutionhas negative effects on human, animal and ecosystem health.

Critical to developing mitigation measures for Arctic marine traffic is having a spatial and temporal understanding of the patterns of indigenous marine use. The Bering Sea Sub Network (BISSEN), National Science Foundation and the project gathering local observations on the environment and subsistence harvest in coastal Russian and the Bering Sea, utilizes the density mapping method to develop spatial data of subsister areas. These maps show aggregated data by species and season. They can be correlated with other types of data, such as ice extended (see workshopreport, 2012).

In addition to the BSSN, Kawerak Inc. is conducting a major **totuply**epare maps showing seasonally defined habitat and subsistence use areas for seals and walrus on the U.S. side of the Bering Strait. The study involves collaboration with nine federally recognized tribles complex of these seasonal maps (Figure 2) are given here and, even finalized, may help inform decisions on appropriate protective measures.



Figure 2. Seasonal seal and walrus harvest for 9 of 20 tribes orsldt Sof Bering Strait regionFall seal hunting generally occurs neahore, where seal seed in large number (Kawerak 2012).

2.3 Shipping in the Bering Strait Region

Arctic marine shipping has been the focus of considerable attention and study in recent years. The most comprehensive study to date is the Arctic Marinep§ing Assessment of 2009, prepared under the auspices of theArctic Council and its Protection of Arctic Marine Environment (PAME) working group. The report makesseventeen recommendations, three of whichare of particular importance: 1) RecommendationII A, calling for a survey of Arctic indigenous marine use; 2) Recommendation II C,

calling for identification of areas of heightened ecological and cultural significance and 3) Recommendation II D, asking Arctic States to explore the need for international grades ignated areas for the purpose of environmental protection of the Arctic Ocean.

During 2012, arecent record 49commercialships transited the Northern Sea Route (NSR) (Figure 3) between northern Europe/western Siberiaand Asia, a 1-told increase from two years ago. Over 1 million tons of cargo, primarily petroleum products, iron ore, and coverle transported through the route, a 50 percent increase from 2011The Arctic Institute Center for Circumpolar Security Studies (CCSSa) iso reported that shipping volume soared more than 75% above 2011 tonrotageabout 1.5 million tons In addition, last year marked the first time that a liquefied natural gas (LNG) carrier, Ob River owned by Gazprom Group, successfully complete that shipping up the titure for Russian LNG to supply Asian and European markets.

Figure 3. TransArctic shipping routes (AMSA, 2009).

Over the course of this study the Russian State Duma adopted a Law on the NSR in July 2012 and entered into force Januzy 2013. The Russian Federation also established a Northern Sea Route Administration under the Ministry of TransportShips transiting the Arctic Ocean through either the



Figure 4: Arctic marine activity tracked by Autoatic Identification System (AIS) in 20(Source: Marine Exchange of Alaska)

The impacts of increased shipping activity on marine ecosystems and the people who depend on them for subsistence as well as cultural and spiritual **wel**hg has been a cause concern. Increased ship traffic carries with it associated risks of collisions, noise and disturbance impacts impacts invasive species introductions, polluting discharges and other effects (ome workshop report 2012, for further discussion).

?

## 3. Workshop Outcomes

As marine mammals migrate, subsistence hunters travel, and patterns of sea ice change, identification of vulnerable areas is essential/Vorkshop attendee focused the discussion on how tbest acquire and disseminate information on the location of ships, hunters, hazards, and mammalBacause of the multiple users of the marine environment, timely and effective mmunication isparamount between governments, vessels and hunters. The participants identified three areas to advance imporved management in this region:

- 1. Voluntary Measures for Shippers;
- 2. Communications Infrastructurand
- 3. International cooperation.

There was commonagreement that each of these areas requires increased cooperationong the Arctic states in particular between U.S., Russia and Canada at the federal level. At the regional level, it

 Ship routing Ship speed Ship reporting with respect to location of hazards such as sea ice.

The Arctic community has significant information about Arctic ship traiffidigenous marine use, animal migratory patterns and the location of ecologic biological significant areas. With advanced spatial and temporal information on indigenous marine use and migratory patterns process to integrate this information could begin examiniting interactions of these components the Arctic marine environment.

In addition to federalagencies in the U.S., Russia, and Canada, as well as the indigenous populations, workshop participants identified other stakeholders that might have ssither(in)5(te)-3(ces)10(e)-68(in)5() her(te) + (te) +

es tab (b)14(y)-3( )56[(Russi)4(an)4( )459Poimce Myinst((s )46(Dm66(m)-4(t(r)12(y)-3( )56[Mx)7(e)9(

Identifying how voluntary measures will be communicated to shippers and other stakeholder groups.

How the region might effectively monitor compliance.

How measures might evolve as the environment changes and ship traffic increases.

Each of these requires advances in communications infrastructure throughout this region.

3.2 Comm5(n)3ni9 TssJETCID 3 BDC BT1 0 0 1 2.02astruET-Ss[Comm5(n)3incrcn)3incrcnir4cntnu

equipment can provide information to vessels and shore based communications stations on both sides of the Bering Strait (U.S. and Russia) to aid the prevention of maritime accidents, pollution incidents,

#### b. Coast Pilot for the Bering Strait Region

One of the greatest challenges ishow to disseminatemore detailed environmental, geographic and marine use information into the pilot houses **b**fe international U.Sships that are navigating in this sensitive and complex region. Time **i**m formation would enhance marine safety, improve marine environmental protection and mitigate the interactions between ships and indigenous users and marine life. The U.S.Coast Pilot provides a variety of information important that may not be included on nautical charts However, the chapters that address the Bering Strait region currently lack information on the presence of subsistence hunters marine mammals

#### Select information for a Coast Pilotthe BeringStrait regioncould include:

Seasonal routes and areas of concentration of marine mammals and seabirds; Regional sea ice conditions and other unique oceanographic and meteorological conditions; Regional indigenous/traditional marine use on a seasonadisba(with highlighted regions of significant hunting and fishing activities by coastal communities); Seasonal ship traffic in the BSR;

Locations of coastal communities and the areas of their hunting and fishing;

Voluntary measures or marine routes hen

- 3.3 International Cooperation
  - a. Bilateral efforts

It was stressed that the numerous reports that have been generated for this region provide a foundation of information to easily proceed with areliminary risk assessment.

b. Multilateral efforts

IMO Polar Shipping Code

Several of the Arctistates have taken the lead at the International Maritime Organization to develop a man

#### Convention on Biological Diversity

Workshop participants discussed we Arctic shipping activities elate to the Conventionon Biological Diversity. It was noted that ticle 8(j) in the convention is relevant to the Bering Strait region as it considers ? ?H ? ? Bestating ^ µ i š š} v š]}v o o P]•o š]}v contracting Party shall respectpreserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and promote their wider application with the appanda involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable v (]š• CE]•]vP (CE}u šZ  $\mu$ š]o]i š]}v }(• $\mu$ twZaslv}Áo Ρ • Z  $OE | vP \} ( šZ$ noted that Russia and Canada are parties OBD, the United States is not.

# Annexes

Agarkova	Elena	World Wildlife Fund US
Arnaudo	Ray	Office of the Secretary (Policy Planning), U.S. State Department
Aubrey	David	Woods Hole Group
Bergmann	Trisha	International Affairs Specialist, Office of International Affairs, NOAA
Boda	LCDR Ken	Strategy Analyst, United States Coast Guard
Brigham	Lawson	Professor of Geography & Arctic Policy, UAF
Cohen	Harlan	Advise, Ocean Governance and International Institutions, IUCN
Daniel	Raychelle	Senior Associate, PEW Environment Group
Duda	AI	Glocal Environment Facility secretariat
Farrell	John	Executive Director U.S. Arctic Research Commission
Fraser	Drummond	Chief ofRegulations, Compliance and Licensifing nsport Canada
Gibbons	LT Andrew	United States Coast Guard
Gofman	Victoria	Collaborative Research & Consulting
Gourley	Julie	Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs, U.S. State Department
Herr	Dorothee	Marine ResearcAssociate, IUCN
Huffines	Eleanor	

Annex 1 List of persons that attended all or part of the workshop

Robinson	LCDR Brian	U.S. Coas Guardliaison tothe U.S. State Department OES/OPA	
Rodionov	Sergey	Head of Division for Central Marine Research and Design Institute, St Petersburg	
Rosa	Cheryl	Deputy Director, U.S. Arctic Research Commission	
Speer	Lisa	Director, International Oceaa Program, Natural Resources Defense Counc	
Stishov	Mikhail	Arctic Biodiversity Coordinator, WWF Russia	
Wagner	Katie	Marine Research Associate, IUCN	
Williams	Margaret	Managing DirectorU.S Arctic, World Wildlife FundUS	
Zdor	Eduard	Executive Sec <b>te</b> ry of Chukotka Association of Traditional Marine Mamm Hunters	

## Expanding Shipping and the Ecology of the Bering Strait Region Workshop II Agenda

	Wednesday - October 31				
1:00	Opening remarks and review <b>of</b> utcomes of Nome	workshop Tom Laughlin (IUCN) Lawson Brigham (UAF) Lisa Speer (NRDC)			
1:15	Update on the Polar Code and PARS Process	Trisha Bergmann (NOAA) LCDR Brian Robinson (USCG)			
1:45	Discussion Recent progress U.S. Coast Guard	LCDR Ken Boda (US			

## Annex 2

Panel: