Environmental Degradation and Institutional Responses, K.-G. Maler, J. R. Vincent, Eds. (Elsevier, London, 2003), pp. 192–240.

- 7. M. Q. Dao, J. Stud. Econ. Econometr. 32, 47 (2008).
- 8. J. D. Sachs, The En@n. Eco@rer, Science

325, 1503 (2009).

- 12. W. M. Adams et al., Science 306, 1146 (2004).
- B. Strassburg, R. K. Turner, B. Fisher, R. Schaeffer, A. Lovett, Glob. Environ. Change 19, 265 (2009).
- 14. Poverty maps, http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/povmap.
- 15. R. Grenyer et al., Nature 444, 93 (2006).

10.1126/science.1175035

(Millennium Ecosystem Assessment Series, Island Press, Washington, DC, vol. 1, 2005), chap. 4.

- 3. B. Collen et al., Conserv. Biol. 23, 317 (2009).
- 4. G. M. Mace, J. E. M. Baillie, Conserv. Biol. 21, 1406 (2007).
- 5. S. Dasgupta, B. Laplante, H. Wang, D. Wheeler, J. Econ. Perspect. **16**, 147 (2002).
- 6. P. Dasgupta, in Handbook of Environmental Economics:

In response to global declines in biodiversity, some 190 countries have pledged, under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), to reduce the rate of biodiversity loss by 2010 (1, 2). Moreover, this target has recently been incorporated into the Millennium Development Goals in recognition of the impact of biodiversity loss on human well-being (3). Timely information on where and in what ways the target has or has not been met, as well as the likely direction of future trends, depends on a rigorous, relevant, and comprehensive suite of biodiversity indicators with which to track changes over